Art Unit: 2163

REMARKS

A restriction requirement and election were made by telephone. Under 35 USC § 102(e), claims 1-2 and 11-13 were rejected as anticipated by Alshab et al (US Pub. No. 2005/0138081). Under 35 USC § 102(e), claims 1 and 10 were rejected as anticipated by Luke et al (US Pat. No. 6,985,956). Claim 3 was rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as obvious over Alshab et al (US Pub. No. 2005/0138081). Claims 14-15 were rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as obvious over Alshab et al (US Pub. No. 2005/0138081) in

10 Claims 4-9 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

view of Burch et al (US Pat. No. 6,308,320).

Applicant affirms the election of group I, claims 1-15, and is canceling non-elected claims 16-20.

The limitations of allowable claim 4 have been incorporated into base claim 1. The original limitations of base claim 1 have been incorporated into amended claim 9, which is now in independent form.

20

25

15

5

In view of the above, it is submitted that claims 1-3, 5-15, as amended, are in a position for allowance. This application was filed with <u>formal</u> drawings that have not been amended. Applicant believes that a full and complete response to the office action has been made. Reconsideration and re-examination is respectfully requested. Allowance of the claims at an early date is solicited.

If the Examiner believes that a telephone interview would expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (831) 476-5506.

Art Unit: 2163

Stuart T. Auvinen 429 26th Avenue Santa Cruz, CA 95062

(831) 476-5506 (831) 477-0703 Fax /Stuart T Auvinen/

Stuart T. Auvinen Agent for Applicant Reg. No. 36,435